arXiv:1412.8707v2 [math.PR] 13 May 2015

On Anticipated backward stochastic differential equations with Markov chain noise

Zhe Yang * Robert J. Elliott †

Abstract

In 2013, Lu and Ren [8] considered anticipated backward stochastic differential equations driven by finite state, continuous time Markov chain noise and established the existence and uniqueness of the solutions of these equations and a scalar comparison theorem. In this paper, we provide an estimate for their solutions and study the duality between these equations and stochastic differential delayed equations with Markov chain noise. Finally we derive another comparison theorem for these solutions depending only on the two drivers.

1 Introduction

In 2009, a new kind of backward stochastic differential equations (BSDEs), called anticipated BSDEs, was introduced in Peng and Yang [12] as follows:

$$\begin{cases} Y_t = \xi_T + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s, Y_{s+\delta(s)}, Z_{s+\zeta(s)})ds - \int_t^T Z_s dB_s, & t \in [0, T]; \\ Y_t = \xi_t, & t \in [T, T+K]; \\ Z_t = \eta_t, & t \in [T, T+K]. \end{cases}$$

They were motivated as the duality of stochastic differential delayed equations (SDDEs for short). Here, B is Brownian motion, $\xi_\cdot, \eta_\cdot$ are called the terminal conditions and f is called the driver. Peng and Yang [12] provided the existence and uniqueness for the solutions of anticipated BSDEs under similar Lipschitz conditions and gave corresponding comparison results. In

*Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Calgary, 2500 University Drive NW, Calgary, AB, T2N 1N4, Canada, yangzhezhe@gmail.com

†Haskayne School of Business, University of Calgary, 2500 University Drive NW, Calgary, AB, T2N 1N4, Canada, School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Adelaide, SA 5005, Australia, relliott@ucalgary.ca

2011 Xu [16] obtained a necessary and sufficient condition for the comparison theorem of multidimensional anticipated BSDEs. Xu also discussed a general comparison theorem for one-dimensional anticipated BSDEs in [17]. In 2013 Yang and Elliott [19] gave a converse comparison theorem for anticipated BSDEs and related non-linear expectations.

In 2011, Øksendal, Sulem and Zhang [11] studied existence and uniqueness theorems for time-advanced BSDEs driven both by Brownian motion and compensated Poisson random measures. Wu, Wang and Ren [15] extended results of Peng and Yang [12] for anticipated BSDEs to non-Lipschitz generators. In 2013, Yang and Elliott [20] derived the existence of solutions to one-dimensional anticipated BSDEs with continuous coefficients, and showed the existence and comparison results of the minimal solutions. Zong [21] discussed the existence and uniqueness of the solutions of anticipated BSDEs driven by the Teugels martingales and established the corresponding comparison theorem.

In 2012, van der Hoek and Elliott [13] introduced a market model where uncertainties are modeled by a finite state Markov chain, instead of Brownian motion or related jump diffusions. The Markov chain has a semimartingale representation involving a vector martingale $M = \{M_t \in \mathbb{R}^N,\ t \geq 0\}$. BSDEs in this framework were introduced by Cohen and Elliott [2] as

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s)ds - \int_t^T Z_s' dM_s, \qquad t \in [0, T].$$

Here $M = \{M_t \in \mathbb{R}^N,\ t \geq 0\}$ is a martingale coming from the semimartingale representation of the continuous time Markov chain. Cohen and Elliott [3], [4] gave some comparison results for multidimensional BSDEs in the Markov Chain model.

In 2013, Lu and Ren [8] discussed anticipated BSDEs driven by finite state, continuous time Markov chains:

$$\begin{cases} -dY_t = f(t, Y_t, Z_t, Y_{t+\delta(t)}, Z_{t+\zeta(t)})dt - Z_t' dM_t, & t \in [0, T]; \\ Y_t = \xi_t, & t \in [T, T+K]; \\ Z_t = \eta_t, & t \in [T, T+K]. \end{cases}$$

In the same paper, they established the existence and uniqueness of the solutions to this kind of equation.

In this paper, we provide more properties of solutions to anticipated BSDEs with Markov chain noise. First we study how to bound the solutions by the terminal conditions and the driver. Then we deduce there exists a duality between these equations and stochastic differential delayed equations (SDDEs) on Markov chains. This means anticipated BSDEs with Markov

chain noise exist naturally.

Lu and Ren [8] also established a comparison theorem for one-dimensional anticipated BSDEs on Markov chains, based on the comparison result for BSDEs in Cohen and Elliott [4]. They used conditions involving not only the two drivers but also the two solutions. We shall provide a comparison result involving conditions only on the two drivers. This means the comparison result is easier to apply. For example, the penalization of reflected anticipated BSDEs on Markov chains and the converse comparison theorem for anticipated BSDEs on Markov chains can be established using our comparison result.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the model and give some preliminary results. Section 3 provides a new proof of the solutions to anticipated BSDEs on Markov chains and an estimate of the solutions. In Section 4 we show the duality between these equations and SDDEs on Markov chains. We establish in Section 5 a comparison result for one-dimensional anticipated BSDEs with Markov chain noise.

2 The Model and Some Preliminary Results

Consider a finite state Markov chain. Following the papers [13] and [14] of van der Hoek and Elliott, we assume the finite state Markov chain $X = \{X_t, t \geq 0\}$ is defined on the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ and the state space of X is identified with the set of unit vectors $\{e_1, e_2 \cdots, e_N\}$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$, where $e_i = (0, \cdots, 1 \cdots, 0)'$ with 1 in the i-th position. Take $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma\{X_s; 0 \leq s \leq t\}$ to be the σ-algebra generated by the Markov process $X = \{X_t\}$ and $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$ to be its completed natural filtration. Since X is a right continuous with left limits (written RCLL) jump-process, then the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$ is also right-continuous. The Markov chain has the semimartingale representation:

$$X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t A_s X_s ds + M_t. \tag{1}$$

Here, $A = \{A_t, t \geq 0\}$ is the rate matrix of the chain X and M is a vector martingale (See Elliott, Aggoun and Moore [7]). We assume the elements $A_{ij}(t)$ of $A = \{A_t, t \geq 0\}$ are bounded. Then the martingale M is square integrable.

Denote by $[X, X]$ the optional quadratic variation of X, which is a $N \times N$ matrix process and $\langle X, X \rangle$, the unique predictable $N \times N$ matrix process such that $[X, X] - \langle X, X \rangle$ is a matrix valued martingale and write L for the matrix martingale process where:

$$L_t = [X, X]_t - \langle X, X \rangle_t, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

It is shown in [2] that:

$$\langle X, X\rangle_t = \int_0^t \mathrm{diag}(A_s X_s)ds - \int_0^t \mathrm{diag}(X_s)A_s' ds - \int_0^t A_s \mathrm{diag}(X_s)ds. \quad (2)$$

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, denote for $\phi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the Euclidean norm $|\phi|_n = \sqrt{\phi'\phi}$ and for $\psi \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$, the matrix norm $\|\psi\|_{n\times n} = \sqrt{Tr(\psi'\psi)}$.
Let Ψ be the matrix

$$\Psi_t = \mathrm{diag}(A_t X_{t-}) - \mathrm{diag}(X_{t-})A_t' - A_t \mathrm{diag}(X_{t-}). \quad (3)$$

Then $d\langle X, X\rangle_t = \Psi_t dt$. For any $t > 0$, Cohen and Elliott [2, 4], define the semi-norm $\|.\|_{X_t}$, for $C, D \in \mathbb{R}^{N\times K}$ as:

$$\langle C, D\rangle_{X_t} = Tr(C'\Psi_t D),$$
$$\|C\|^2_{X_t} = \langle C, C\rangle_{X_t}.$$

We only consider the case where $C \in \mathbb{R}^N$, hence we introduce the semi-norm $\|.\|_{X_t}$ as:

$$\langle C, D\rangle_{X_t} = C'\Psi_t D,$$
$$\|C\|^2_{X_t} = \langle C, C\rangle_{X_t}.$$

It follows from equation (2) that

$$\int_t^T \|C\|^2_{X_s} ds = \int_t^T C' d\langle X, X\rangle_s C.$$

The following lemma comes from Yang, Ramarimbahoaka and Elliott [18].

Lemma 2.1. *For any $B \in \mathbb{R}^N$,*

$$\|B\|_{X_t} \le \sqrt{3m}|B|_N, \quad \text{for any } t \in [0, T],$$

where $m > 0$ is the bound of $\|A_t\|_{N\times N}$, for any $t \in [0, T]$.

Lemma 2.2 is Lemma 3.1 in Cohen and Elliott [4].

Lemma 2.2. *For Z, a predictable process in $\mathbb{R}^N$, verifying:*

$$E\left[\int_0^t \|Z_u\|^2_{X_u} du\right] < \infty,$$

we have:

$$E\left[\left(\int_0^t Z_u' dM_u\right)^2\right] = E\left[\int_0^t \|Z_u\|^2_{X_u} du\right].$$

Denote by $\mathcal{P}$, the σ-field generated by the processes defined on $(\Omega, P, \mathcal{F})$ which are predictable with respect to the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\in[0,\infty)}$. For any $t, s, r \in [0,\infty)$, $t \le r \le s$, consider the following spaces:

$L^2(\mathcal{F}_t;\mathbb{R}) := \{\xi :\ \xi$ is an $\mathbb{R}$-valued $\mathcal{F}_t$-measurable random variable such that $E[|\xi|^2] < +\infty\}$;

$L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(t,s;\mathbb{R}) := \{\phi_\cdot : [t,s]\times\Omega \to \mathbb{R};\ \phi_\cdot$ is an adapted and RCLL process with $E[\int_t^s |\phi(t)|^2 dt] < +\infty\}$;

$H^2(t,s;\mathbb{R}^N) = \{\phi_\cdot : [t,s]\times\Omega \to \mathbb{R}^N;\ \phi_\cdot \in \mathcal{P}$ with $E[\int_t^s \|\phi(t)\|^2_{X_t} dt] < +\infty\}$;

$H^2(\mathcal{F}_r;\mathbb{R}^N) := \{\varphi_r$ is an $\mathbb{R}^N$-valued $\mathcal{F}_r$-measurable random variable with $\varphi_\cdot \in H^2(t,s;\mathbb{R}^N)\}$.

Consider the following one-dimensional BSDE with the Markov chain noise:

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s)ds - \int_t^T Z_s' dM_s, \qquad t \in [0,T]. \tag{4}$$

Here the terminal condition ξ and the coefficient f are known. Lemma 2.3 (Theorem 6.2 in Cohen and Elliott [2]) gives the existence and uniqueness result for solutions to the BSDEs driven by Markov chains:

Lemma 2.3. *Assume $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_T)$, the function $f : \Omega\times[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies a Lipschitz condition, in the sense that there exists two constants $l_1, l_2 > 0$ such that P-a.s. for each $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $t \in [0,T]$,*

$$|f(t,y_1,z_1) - f(t,y_2,z_2)| \le l_1|y_1 - y_2| + l_2\|z_1 - z_2\|_{X_t}, \tag{5}$$

and for each $(y,z) \in \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^N$, the process $(f(t,y,z))_{t\in[0,T]}$ is predictable. We also assume f satisfies

$$E[\int_0^T |f(t,0,0)|^2 dt] < \infty. \tag{6}$$

Then there exists a solution $(Y,Z) \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0,T;\mathbb{R})\times P^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0,T;\mathbb{R}^N)$ to BSDE (4). Moreover, this solution is unique among $(Y,Z) \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0,T;\mathbb{R})\times P^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0,T;\mathbb{R}^N)$ and up to indistinguishability for Y and equality $d\langle X,X\rangle_t \times\mathbb{P}$-a.s. for Z.

Campbell and Meyer [1] gave the following definition:

Definition 2.4. *The Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse of a square matrix Q is the matrix $Q^\dagger$ satisfying the properties:*

1) $QQ^\dagger Q = Q$

2) $Q^\dagger QQ^\dagger = Q^\dagger$

3) $(QQ^\dagger)' = QQ^\dagger$

4) $(Q^\dagger Q)' = Q^\dagger Q$.

Recall the matrix $\Psi_\cdot$ given by (3). The following lemma is Lemma 3.3 in Cohen and Elliott [4].

Lemma 2.5. *For all t, both Ψ and $\Psi^\dagger$ are bounded.*

We adapt Lemma 3.5 in Cohen and Elliott [4] for our framework as follows:

Lemma 2.6. *For any driver satisfying* (5) *and* (6)*, for any $Y_\cdot$ and $Z_\cdot$,*

$$P(f(t, Y_{t-}, Z_t) = f(t, Y_{t-}, \Psi_t \Psi_t^\dagger Z_t), \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, +\infty]) = 1$$

and

$$\int_0^t Z_s' dM_s = \int_0^t (\Psi_s \Psi_s^\dagger Z_s)' dM_s.$$

Therefore, without any loss of generality, we shall assume $Z_\cdot = (\Psi\Psi^\dagger Z)_\cdot$.

Assumption 2.7. *Assume the Lipschitz constant l_2 of the driver f given in* (5) *satisfies*

$$l_2 \|\Psi_t^\dagger\|_{N\times N} \sqrt{6m} < 1, \qquad \text{for any } \ t \in [0, T],$$

where $\Psi_\cdot$ is given in (3) *and $m > 0$ is the bound of $\|A_t\|_{N\times N}$, for any $t \in [0, T]$.*

The following lemma, which is a comparison result for BSDEs driven by a Markov chain, is found in Yang, Ramarimbahoaka and Elliott [18].

Lemma 2.8. *For $i = 1, 2$, suppose $(Y_\cdot^{(i)}, Z_\cdot^{(i)})$ is the solution of the BSDE:*

$$Y_t^{(i)} = \xi_i + \int_t^T f_i(s, Y_s^{(i)}, Z_s^{(i)}) ds - \int_t^T (Z_s^{(i)})' dM_s, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Assume $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_T; \mathbb{R})$, and $f_1, f_2 : \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfy conditions such that the above two BSDEs have unique solutions. Moreover assume f_1 satisfies (5) *and Assumption 2.7. If $\xi_1 \le \xi_2$, a.s. and $f_1(t, Y_t^{(2)}, Z_t^{(2)}) \le f_2(t, Y_t^{(2)}, Z_t^{(2)})$, a.e., a.s., then*

$$P(Y_t^{(1)} \le Y_t^{(2)}, \quad \text{for any } t \in [0, T]) = 1.$$

The following lemma which gives the duality between the solutions to linear BSDEs and linear SDEs is Theorem 2 in [3], adapted for our one-dimensional case with Markov chain noise:

Lemma 2.9. *(Linear BSDEs) Let (η, μ) be a $du \times P-a.s.$ bounded $(\mathbb{R}^{1\times N}, \mathbb{R})$ valued predictable process, $g \in P^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T, \mathbb{R})$ and $\xi \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_T)$. Then the linear BSDE given by*

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T (\mu_s Y_s + \eta_s Z_s + g_s)ds - \int_t^T Z'_s dM_s, \quad t \in [0, T]$$

has a unique solution $(Y, Z) \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; \mathbb{R}) \times P^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; \mathbb{R}^N)$, (up to appropriate sets of measure zero). Furthermore, if for all $s \in [t, T]$

$$1 + \eta_s \Psi^\dagger_s (e_j - X_{s-}) \tag{7}$$

is non-zero (invertible for the multi-dimensional case) for all j such that $e'_j A_s X_{s-} > 0$, except possibly on some evanescent set, then Y is given by the explicit formula

$$Y_t = E[\xi U_T + \int_t^T g_s U_s ds | \mathcal{F}_t] \tag{8}$$

up to indistinguishability. Here U is the solution to the one-dimensional SDE:

$$\begin{cases} dU_s = U_s \mu_s ds + U_{s-} \eta_s (\Psi^\dagger_s)' dM_s, \quad s \in [t, T]; \\ U_t = 1. \end{cases}$$

Remark 1 in [4] states that conditions (7) and (8) in Lemma 2.9 can be simplified to

$$\eta_s \Psi^\dagger_s (e_j - X_{s-}) > -1, \tag{9}$$

for all $j \in \{1, \cdots, N\}$, without loss of generality. Note that if Assumption 2.7 holds, we deduce condition (9) holds, furthermore, the result of Lemma 2.9 holds.

Lemma 2.10 is Corollary 7.22 in Klebaner [9].

Lemma 2.10. *Let $\{M(t); 0 \le t < \infty\}$ be a local martingale such that for all t, $E[\sup_{s\le t} |M(s)|] < \infty$. Then it is a martingale.*

3 An estimate of the solutions to anticipated BSDEs with Markov chain model

In order to make this paper self-contained, we shall provide a proof of the existence and uniqueness of solutions of anticipated BSDEs with Markov

chain noise by using the fixed point theorem, rather than using Picard iterations as in Lu and Ren [8].

Consider the following anticipated BSDE on the Markov chain:

$$\begin{cases} -dY_t = f(t, Y_t, Z_t, Y_{t+\delta(t)}, Z_{t+\zeta(t)})dt - Z_t' dM_t, & t \in [0, T]; \\ Y_t = \xi_t, & t \in [T, T+K]; \\ Z_t = \eta_t, & t \in [T, T+K]. \end{cases} \tag{10}$$

Here M is defined in (1), $\delta(\cdot)$ and $\zeta(\cdot)$ are two $\mathbb{R}^+$-valued continuous functions defined on $[0, T]$ such that
(**i**) there exists a constant $K \geq 0$ such that for any $s \in [0, T]$,

$$s + \delta(s) \leq T + K, \qquad\qquad s + \zeta(s) \leq T + K;$$

(**ii**) there exists a constant $L \geq 0$ such that for any $t \in [0, T]$ and a nonnegative and integrable function $g(\cdot)$,

$$\int_t^T g(s + \delta(s))ds \leq L \int_t^{T+K} g(s)ds;$$

$$\int_t^T g(s + \zeta(s))ds \leq L \int_t^{T+K} g(s)ds.$$

Assume that for any $s \in [0, T]$, $f(s, \omega, y, z, \xi, \eta) : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \times L^2(\mathcal{F}_r; \mathbb{R}) \times H^2(\mathcal{F}_{r'}; \mathbb{R}^N) \longrightarrow L^2(\mathcal{F}_s, \mathbb{R})$, where $r, r' \in [s, T + K]$, and f satisfies the following conditions
(**H1**) There exist two constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$, such that for any $s \in [0, T]$, $y, y' \in \mathbb{R}$, $z, z' \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $\xi_\cdot, \xi'_\cdot \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(s, T + K; \mathbb{R})$, $\eta_\cdot, \eta'_\cdot \in H^2(s, T + K; \mathbb{R}^N)$, $r, \bar{r} \in [s, T + K]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|f(s, y, z, \xi_r, \eta_{\bar{r}}) - f(s, y', z', \xi'_r, \eta'_{\bar{r}})| \\ &\leq c_1(|y - y'| + E^{\mathcal{F}_s}[|\xi_r - \xi'_r|]) + c_2(\|z - z'\|_{X_s} + E^{\mathcal{F}_s}[\|\eta_{\bar{r}} - \eta'_{\bar{r}}\|_{X_s}]). \end{aligned}$$

(**H2**) For each $(y, z, \xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \times L^2(\mathcal{F}_r; \mathbb{R}) \times H^2(\mathcal{F}_{r'}; \mathbb{R}^N)$, the process $(f(t, y, z, \xi, \eta))_{t \in [0,T]}$ is predictable, and $E[\int_0^T |f(s, 0, 0, 0, 0)|^2 ds] < \infty$.

Lu and Ren [8] proved the result of Theorem 3.1 below. Here, we give an alternative proof.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that f satisfies $(H1)$ and $(H2)$, δ, ζ satisfy (i) and (ii). Then for arbitrary given terminal conditions $\xi_\cdot \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(T, T + K; \mathbb{R})$, $\eta_\cdot \in H^2(T, T + K; \mathbb{R}^N)$, the anticipated BSDE (10) has a unique solution, i.e., there exists a unique pair of stochastic processes $(Y_\cdot, Z_\cdot) \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T + K; \mathbb{R}) \times H^2(0, T + K; \mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfying equation (10). Moreover, this solution is unique up to indistinguishability for Y and equality $d\langle X, X\rangle_t \times \mathbb{P}$-a.s. for Z.*

Proof. Set $c := \max\{c_1, c_2\}$. We fix $\beta = 16c^2(L+1)$, where L is given in (ii). Now we introduce a norm in the Banach space $L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T+K; \mathbb{R})$:

$$\|\nu_\cdot\|_{L^2} = (E[\int_0^{T+K} |\nu_s|^2 e^{\beta s} ds])^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Define an equivalence class of $\varphi_\cdot$ by $[\varphi_\cdot] := \{\psi_\cdot;\ E[\int_0^{T+K} \|\psi_t - \varphi_t\|^2_{X_s} ds] = 0\}$ and denote the factor space of equivalence classes of processes in $H^2(0, T+K; \mathbb{R}^N)$ by $\hat{H}^2(0, T+K; \mathbb{R}^N) := \{[\varphi_\cdot];\ \varphi_\cdot \in H^2(0, T+K; \mathbb{R}^N)\}$. Then $\hat{H}^2(0, T+K; \mathbb{R}^N)$ is a Banach space with the norm

$$\|\mu_\cdot\|_{\hat{H}^2} = (E[\int_0^{T+K} \|\mu_s\|^2_{X_s} e^{\beta s} ds])^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Set

$$\begin{cases} Y_t = \xi_T + \int_t^T f(s, y_s, z_s, y_{s+\delta(s)}, z_{s+\zeta(s)}) ds - \int_t^T Z'_s dM_s, & t \in [0, T]; \\ Y_t = \xi_t, & t \in [T, T+K]; \\ Z_t = \eta_t, & t \in [T, T+K]. \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 2.3, we know for any $(y_\cdot, z_\cdot) \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T+K; \mathbb{R}) \times H^2(0, T+K; \mathbb{R}^N)$, the above equation has a solution $(Y_\cdot, Z_\cdot) \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T+K; \mathbb{R}) \times H^2(0, T+K; \mathbb{R}^N)$, moreover, this solution is unique up to indistinguishability for $Y_\cdot$ and equality $d\langle X, X\rangle_t \times \mathbb{P}$-a.s. for $Z_\cdot$. That is, this solution is unique up to indistinguishability for $(Y_\cdot, Z_\cdot) \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T+K; \mathbb{R}) \times \hat{H}^2(0, T+K; \mathbb{R}^N)$. Define a mapping $h : L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T+K; \mathbb{R}) \times \hat{H}^2(0, T+K; \mathbb{R}^N) \longrightarrow L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T+K; \mathbb{R}) \times \hat{H}^2(0, T+K; \mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $h[(y_\cdot, z_\cdot)] = (Y_\cdot, Z_\cdot)$. Now we prove that h is a contraction mapping under the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^2} + \|\cdot\|_{\hat{H}^2}$. For two arbitrary elements $(y_\cdot, z_\cdot)$ and $(y'_\cdot, z'_\cdot)$ in $L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T+K; \mathbb{R}) \times \hat{H}^2(0, T+K; \mathbb{R}^N)$ set $(Y_\cdot, Z_\cdot) = h[(y_\cdot, z_\cdot)]$ and $(Y'_\cdot, Z'_\cdot) = h[(y'_\cdot, z'_\cdot)]$. Denote their differences by $(\hat{y}_\cdot, \hat{z}_\cdot) = ((y - y')_\cdot, (z - z')_\cdot)$ and $(\hat{Y}_\cdot, \hat{Z}_\cdot) = ((Y - Y')_\cdot, (Z - Z')_\cdot)$. Applying Product Rule for Semimartingales in [6] to $|\hat{Y}_t|$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{Y}_t|^2 &= -2\int_t^T \hat{Y}_{s-} d\hat{Y}_s - \sum_{t \le s \le T} \Delta\hat{Y}_s \Delta\hat{Y}_s \\ &= -2\int_t^T \hat{Y}_s (f(s, y_s, z_s, y_{s+\delta(s)}, z_{s+\zeta(s)}) - f(s, y'_s, z'_s, y'_{s+\delta(s)}, z'_{s+\zeta(s)})) ds \\ &\quad - 2\int_t^T \hat{Y}_{s-} (\hat{Z}_s)' dM_s - \sum_{t \le s \le T} \Delta\hat{Y}_s \Delta\hat{Y}_s. \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{t \le s \le T} \Delta\hat{Y}_s \Delta\hat{Y}_s = \sum_{t \le s \le T} ((\hat{Z}_s)' \Delta X_s)((\hat{Z}_s)' \Delta X_s) = \sum_{t \le s \le T} (\hat{Z}_s)' \Delta X_s \Delta X'_s \hat{Z}_s \\ &= \int_t^T (\hat{Z}_s)' (dL_s + d\langle X, X\rangle_s) \hat{Z}_s = \int_t^T (\hat{Z}_s)' dL_s \hat{Z}_s + \int_t^T \|\hat{Z}_s\|^2_{X_s} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Itô's formula to $e^{\beta s}|\hat{Y}_s|^2$ for $s \in [0,T]$ and then taking the expectation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&E[|\hat{Y}_0|^2] + E[\int_0^T \beta|\hat{Y}_s|^2 e^{\beta s} ds] + E[\int_0^T \|\hat{Z}_s\|^2_{X_s} e^{\beta s} ds] \\
&= 2E[\int_0^T \hat{Y}_s(f(s, y_s, z_s, y_{s+\delta(s)}, z_{s+\zeta(s)}) - f(s, y'_s, z'_s, y'_{s+\delta(s)}, z'_{s+\zeta(s)}))e^{\beta s} ds] \\
&\leq E[\int_0^T (\frac{\beta}{2}|\hat{Y}_s|^2 + \frac{2}{\beta}|f(s, y_s, z_s, y_{s+\delta(s)}, z_{s+\zeta(s)}) - f(s, y'_s, z'_s, y'_{s+\delta(s)}, z'_{s+\zeta(s)})|^2)e^{\beta s} ds].
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\delta(s), \zeta(s)$ satisfy (ii) and f satisfies $(H1)$, by the Fubini Theorem we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&E[\int_0^T (\frac{\beta}{2}|\hat{Y}_s|^2 + \|\hat{Z}_s\|^2_{X_s})e^{\beta s} ds] \\
&\leq \frac{2c^2}{\beta} E[\int_0^T (|\hat{y}_s| + \|\hat{z}_s\|_{X_s} + E^{\mathcal{F}_s}[\ |\hat{y}_{s+\delta(s)}| + \|\hat{z}_{s+\zeta(s)}\|_{X_s}])^2 e^{\beta s} ds] \\
&\leq \frac{8c^2}{\beta} E[\int_0^T (|\hat{y}_s|^2 + \|\hat{z}_s\|^2_{X_s} + |\hat{y}_{s+\delta(s)}|^2 + \|\hat{z}_{s+\zeta(s)}\|^2_{X_s})e^{\beta s} ds] \\
&\leq \frac{8c^2(L+1)}{\beta} E[\int_0^{T+K} (|\hat{y}_s|^2 + \|\hat{z}_s\|^2_{X_s})e^{\beta s} ds].
\end{aligned}
$$

Note $\beta = 16c^2(L+1)$, therefore

$$
E[\int_0^{T+K} (|\hat{Y}_s|^2 + \|\hat{Z}_s\|^2_{X_s})e^{\beta s} ds] \leq \frac{1}{2} E[\int_0^{T+K} (|\hat{y}_s|^2 + \|\hat{z}_s\|_{X_s})e^{\beta s} ds],
$$

or

$$
\|\hat{Y}_\cdot\|_{L^2} + \|\hat{Z}_\cdot\|_{\hat{H}^2} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\|\hat{y}_\cdot\|_{L^2} + \|\hat{z}_\cdot\|_{\hat{H}^2}).
$$

Consequently h is a strict contraction mapping on $L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T+K; \mathbb{R}) \times \hat{H}^2(0, T+K; \mathbb{R}^N)$. It follows by the Fixed Point Theorem that the anticipated BSDE (10) has a unique solution $(Y_\cdot, Z_\cdot) \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T+K; \mathbb{R}) \times \hat{H}^2(0, T+K; \mathbb{R}^N)$. That is, the solution $(Y_\cdot, Z_\cdot) \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T+K; \mathbb{R}) \times H^2(0, T+K; \mathbb{R}^N)$ is unique up to indistinguishability for Y and equality $d\langle X, X\rangle_t \times \mathbb{P}$-a.s. for Z. □

Our method allows us to find an estimate of the solution to equation (10).

Proposition 3.2. *Assume that f satisfies $(H1)$ and $(H2)$, δ and ζ satisfy (i) and (ii). Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ depending only on c_1, c_2 in $(H1)$, L in (ii), K and T, such that for each $\xi_\cdot \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(T, T+K; \mathbb{R})$, $\eta_\cdot \in H^2(T, T+K; \mathbb{R}^N)$, the solution $(Y_\cdot, Z_\cdot)$ to the anticipated BSDE (10) satisfies*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&E[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} |Y_s|^2 + \int_0^T \|Z_s\|^2_{X_s} ds] \\
&\leq CE[|\xi_T|^2 + \int_T^{T+K} (|\xi_s|^2 + \|\eta_s\|^2_{X_s}) ds + (\int_0^T |f(s, 0, 0, 0, 0)| ds)^2].
\end{aligned} \tag{11}
$$

Proof. Set $c =: \max\{c_1, c_2\}$. let $\beta > 0$ be an arbitrary constant. Using Itô's formula for $e^{\beta t}|Y_t|^2$, we deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
&E[|Y_0|^2] + E[\textstyle\int_0^T \beta|Y_s|^2 e^{\beta s} ds] + E[\int_0^T e^{\beta s}\|Z_s\|^2_{X_s} ds] \\
&= E[e^{\beta T}|\xi_T|^2] + 2E[\textstyle\int_0^T e^{\beta s} Y_s f(s, Y_s, Z_s, Y_{s+\delta(s)}, Z_{s+\zeta(s)}) ds] \\
&\le E[e^{\beta T}|\xi_T|^2] + 2E[\textstyle\int_0^T e^{\beta s}|Y_s| \cdot |f(s,0,0,0,0)| ds] \\
&+2E[\textstyle\int_0^T e^{\beta s}|Y_s| \cdot |f(s, Y_s, Z_s, Y_{s+\delta(s)}, Z_{s+\zeta(s)}) - f(s,0,0,0,0)| ds] \\
&\le E[e^{\beta T}|\xi_T|^2] + 2E[\textstyle\int_0^T e^{\beta s}|Y_s| \cdot |f(s,0,0,0,0)| ds] \\
&+2cE[\textstyle\int_0^T e^{\beta s}|Y_s|(|Y_s| + E^{\mathcal{F}_s}[|Y_{s+\delta(s)}|] + \|Z_s\|_{X_s} + E^{\mathcal{F}_s}[\|Z_{s+\zeta(s)}\|_{X_s}]) ds] \\
&\le E[e^{\beta T}|\xi_T|^2] + 2E[\sup_{s\in[0,T]} e^{\frac{1}{2}\beta s}|Y_s| \cdot \textstyle\int_0^T e^{\frac{1}{2}\beta s}|f(s,0,0,0,0)| ds] \\
&+(3c + 3c^2 + 3c^2 L)E[\textstyle\int_0^T e^{\beta s}|Y_s|^2 ds] + cE[\int_0^T e^{\beta s}|Y_{s+\delta(s)}|^2 ds] \\
&+\frac{1}{3}E[\textstyle\int_0^T e^{\beta s}\|Z_s\|^2_{X_s} ds] + \frac{1}{3L}E[\int_0^T e^{\beta s}\|Z_{s+\zeta(s)}\|^2_{X_s} ds] \\
&\le E[e^{\beta T}|\xi_T|^2] + \alpha E[\sup_{s\in[0,T]} e^{\beta s}|Y_s|^2] + \frac{1}{\alpha}E[(\textstyle\int_0^T e^{\frac{1}{2}\beta s}|f(s,0,0,0,0)| ds)^2] \\
&+(3c + 3c^2 + 3c^2 L + cL)E[\textstyle\int_0^{T+K} e^{\beta s}|Y_s|^2 ds] + \frac{2}{3}E[\int_0^{T+K} e^{\beta s}\|Z_s\|^2_{X_s} ds],
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\alpha > 0$ is also an arbitrary constant. Set $\beta = 3c + 3c^2 + 3c^2 L + cL + 1$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&E[\int_0^T |Y_s|^2 e^{\beta s} ds] + \frac{1}{3}E[\int_0^T e^{\beta s}\|Z_s\|^2_{X_s} ds] \qquad (12)\\
&\le E[e^{\beta T}|\xi_T|^2] + \alpha E[\sup_{s\in[0,T]} e^{\beta s}|Y_s|^2] + \frac{1}{\alpha}E[(\int_0^T e^{\frac{1}{2}\beta s}|f(s,0,0,0,0)| ds)^2] \\
&+ (3c + 3c^2 + 3c^2 L + cL)E[\int_T^{T+K} e^{\beta s}|\xi_s|^2 ds] + \frac{2}{3}E[\int_T^{T+K} e^{\beta s}\|\eta_s\|^2_{X_s} ds].
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Doob's inequality and Lemma 2.2, we know

$$
\begin{aligned}
&E[\sup_{0\le t\le T} |\int_t^T Z'_s dM_s|^2] = E[\sup_{0\le t\le T} |\int_0^T Z'_s dM_s - \int_0^t Z'_s dM_s|^2] \\
&\le 2E[|\int_0^T Z'_s dM_s|^2 + \sup_{0\le t\le T} |\int_0^t Z'_s dM_s|^2] \le 10E[|\int_0^T Z'_s dM_s|^2] \\
&= 10E[\int_0^T \|Z_s\|^2_{X_s} ds] \le 10E[\int_0^T e^{\beta s}\|Z_s\|^2_{X_s} ds]. \qquad (13)
\end{aligned}
$$

Because $Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s, Y_{s+\delta(s)}, Z_{s+\zeta(s)})ds - \int_t^T Z_s' dM_s,\ 0 \le t \le T$, by (13) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&E[\sup_{0\le t\le T}|Y_t|^2] \\
&\le E[3|\xi_T|^2 + 3(\int_0^T |f(s, Y_s, Z_s, Y_{s+\delta(s)}, Z_{s+\zeta(s)})|ds)^2 + 3\sup_{0\le t\le T}|\int_t^T Z_s' dM_s|^2] \\
&\le 3E[|\xi_T|^2] + 30E[\int_0^T e^{\beta s}\|Z_s\|_{X_s}^2 ds] \\
&+ 3E[(\int_0^T (|f(s,0,0,0,0)| + c|Y_s| + c\|Z_s\|_{X_s} + c|Y_{s+\delta(s)}| + c\|Z_{s+\zeta(s)}\|_{X_s})ds)^2] \\
&\le 3E[|\xi_T|^2] + 30E[\int_0^T e^{\beta s}\|Z_s\|_{X_s}^2 ds] + 15E[(\int_0^T |f(s,0,0,0,0)|ds)^2] \\
&+ 15Tc^2E[\int_0^T (|Y_s|^2 + \|Z_s\|_{X_s}^2 + |Y_{s+\delta(s)}|^2 + \|Z_{s+\zeta(s)}\|_{X_s}^2)ds] \\
&\le 3E[e^{\beta T}|\xi_T|^2] + 15E[(\int_0^T |f(s,0,0,0,0)|ds)^2] \\
&+ 15(2 + Tc^2 + Tc^2L)E[\int_0^T e^{\beta s}(|Y_s|^2 + \|Z_s\|_{X_s}^2)ds] \\
&+ 15Tc^2LE[\int_T^{T+K} e^{\beta s}(|\xi_s|^2 + \|\eta_s\|_{X_s}^2)ds]. \qquad (14)
\end{aligned}
$$

Set $\alpha = \dfrac{1}{90(2 + Tc^2 + Tc^2L)}$. Then by (12) and (14), we deduce there exists a constant $C > 0$ depending on T, c, L and K such that (11) holds. □

4 Duality between SDDEs and Anticipated BSDEs on Markov chains

It is well known that there is perfect duality between SDEs and BSDEs (see El Karoui, Peng, and Quenez [5]). Cohen, Elliott [3] and [4] showed duality between SDEs and BSDEs driven by Markov chains. In [12] Peng and Yang considered duality between SDDEs and anticipated BSDEs. We now establish duality between SDDEs and anticipated BSDEs with Markov chain noise.

Lemma 4.1. *For any $B \in \mathbb{R}^{N\times N}$,*

$$\|B\|_{X_t}^2 \le 3m\|B\|_{N\times N}^2, \quad \textit{for any } t \in [0, T],$$

where $m > 0$ is the bound of $\|A_t\|_{N\times N}$, for any $t \in [0,T]$.

Proof. Write $B = (B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_N)$, where $B_i \in \mathbb{R}^N$, for any $1 \le i \le N$. Then $\|B\|^2_{N\times N} = \sum_{i=1}^N |B_i|^2_N$. Noticing that for any $1 \le i \le N$, $B_i'\Psi_t B_i \in \mathbb{R}$, we obtain for any $t \in [0,T]$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|B\|^2_{X_t} &= Tr((B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_N)'\Psi_t(B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_N))\\ &= Tr((B_1'\Psi_t B_1, B_2'\Psi_t B_2, \ldots, B_N'\Psi_t B_N))\\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N B_i'\Psi_t B_i = \sum_{i=1}^N \|B_i\|^2_{X_t}.\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1 we have $\|B\|^2_{X_t} \le 3m\sum_{i=1}^N |B_i|^2_N = 3m\|B\|^2_{N\times N}$, for any $t \in [0,T]$. □

Assumption 4.2. *Assume there exists a constant $l > 0$ such that for any $t \in [0,T]$, $\|\Psi_t^\dagger\|^2_{N\times N} \le l$, where Ψ is given in (3).*

Lemma 4.3. *Suppose that Assumption 4.2 holds, f satisfies $(H1), (H2)$ and δ, ζ satisfy (i) and (ii). Then for any $\xi_\cdot \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(T, T+K; \mathbb{R}), \eta_\cdot \in H^2(T, T+K; \mathbb{R}^N)$, the solution $Z_\cdot \in H^2(0, T+K; \mathbb{R}^N)$ of the anticipated BSDE (10) satisfies $Z_\cdot = (\Psi\Psi^\dagger Z)_\cdot$, $d\langle X, X\rangle_t \times \mathbb{P}$-a.s.*

Proof. Set $c := \max\{c_1, c_2\}$. By the proof of Theorem 3.1,We know there exists a sequence of $\{(y_\cdot^{(n)}, z_\cdot^{(n)});\ n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T+K; \mathbb{R}) \times H^2(0, T+K; \mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfying for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{cases} y_t^{(n+1)} = \xi_T + \int_t^T f(s, y_s^{(n+1)}, z_s^{(n+1)}, y_{s+\delta(s)}^{(n)}, z_{s+\zeta(s)}^{(n)})ds - \int_t^T (z_s^{(n+1)})' dM_s,\ t \in [0,T];\\ y_t^{(n+1)} = \xi_t, \quad t \in [T, T+K];\\ z_t^{(n+1)} = \eta_t, \quad t \in [T, T+K]. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$E[\int_0^{T+K} (|y_s^{(n)} - Y_s|^2 + \|z_s^{(n)} - Z_s\|^2_{X_s})e^{\beta s}ds] \to 0, \qquad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty,$$

where $(Y_\cdot, Z_\cdot) \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T+K; \mathbb{R}) \times H^2(0, T+K; \mathbb{R}^N)$ is the solution of the anticipated BSDE (10). Thus, $E[\int_0^{T+K} \|z_s^{(n)} - Z_s\|^2_{X_s} ds] \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. By Lemma 2.6, we have for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $E[\int_0^{T+K} \|z_s^{(n)} - \Psi_s\Psi_s^\dagger z_s^{(n)}\|^2_{X_s} ds] = 0$. Noting $\Psi_t = \mathrm{diag}(A_t X_t) - \mathrm{diag}(X_t)A_t' - A_t\mathrm{diag}(X_t)$ given in (3), by Lemma

4.1 we obtain for any $t \in [0,T]$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Psi_t\|^2_{X_t} &\le 3m\|\mathrm{diag}(A_tX_t) - \mathrm{diag}(X_t)A_t' - A_t\mathrm{diag}(X_t)\|^2_{N\times N}\\ &\le 3m(|A_tX_t|_N + |X_t|_N\cdot\|A_t\|_{N\times N} + \|A_t\|_{N\times N}\cdot|X_t|_N)^2\\ &\le 3m(\|A_t\|_{N\times N}\cdot|X_t|_N + |X_t|_N\cdot\|A_t\|_{N\times N} + \|A_t\|_{N\times N}\cdot|X_t|_N)^2\\ &\le 27m\|A_t\|^2_{N\times N} \le 27m^3.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Assumption 4.2 and Lemma 4.1, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}&E[\int_0^{T+K}\|\Psi_s\Psi_s^\dagger z_s^{(n)} - \Psi_s\Psi_s^\dagger Z_s\|^2_{X_s}ds]\\ &\le E[\int_0^{T+K}\|\Psi_s\|^2_{X_s}\cdot\|\Psi_s^\dagger\|^2_{X_s}\cdot\|z_s^{(n)} - Z_s\|^2_{X_s}ds]\\ &\le 27m^3lE[\int_0^{T+K}\|z_s^{(n)} - Z_s\|^2_{X_s}ds] \to 0, \qquad \text{as} \quad n\to\infty.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}&E[\int_0^{T+K}\|Z_s - \Psi_s\Psi_s^\dagger Z_s\|^2_{X_s}ds] = \lim_{n\to\infty}E[\int_0^{T+K}\|Z_s - \Psi_s\Psi_s^\dagger Z_s\|^2_{X_s}ds]\\ &\le 3\lim_{n\to\infty}E[\int_0^{T+K}\|Z_s - z_s^{(n)}\|^2_{X_s}ds] + 3\lim_{n\to\infty}E[\int_0^{T+K}\|z_s^{(n)} - \Psi_s\Psi_s^\dagger z_s^{(n)}\|^2_{X_s}ds]\\ &+ 3\lim_{n\to\infty}E[\int_0^{T+K}\|\Psi_s\Psi_s^\dagger z_s^{(n)} - \Psi_s\Psi_s^\dagger Z_s\|^2_{X_s}ds] = 0. \qquad \square\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.4. *Suppose $\theta > 0$ is a given constant, $a_\cdot, \mu_\cdot \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(t_0-\theta, T+\theta;\mathbb{R})$, $\varphi_\cdot \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(t_0,T;\mathbb{R})$, $b_\cdot \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(t_0-\theta, T+\theta;\mathbb{R}^{1\times N})$, and moreover, there is a constant $\gamma > 0$ such that $|a_s| \le \gamma$, $|b_s|_N \le \gamma$ and $|\mu_s| \le \gamma$ for any $s \in [t_0-\theta, T+\theta]$. Then for all $U_\cdot \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(T, T+\theta;\mathbb{R})$, the solution $Y_\cdot$ to anticipated BSDE with Markov chain noise*

$$\begin{cases} -dY_t = (a_tY_t + \mu_tE^{\mathcal{F}_t}[Y_{t+\theta}] + b_tZ_t + \varphi_t)dt - Z_t'dM_t, \ t\in[t_0,T];\\ \quad Y_t = U_t, \quad t\in[T,T+\theta]. \end{cases}$$

can be given by the closed formula:

$$Y_t = E^{\mathcal{F}_t}[\hat{X}_TU_T + \int_t^T\hat{X}_s\varphi_sds + \int_T^{T+\theta}\mu_{s-\theta}\hat{X}_{s-\theta}U_sds],$$

for any $t\in[t_0,T]$, a.s., where $\hat{X}_s$ is the solution to SDDE with Markov chain

$$\begin{cases} d\hat{X}_s = (a_s\hat{X}_s + \mu_{s-\theta}\hat{X}_{s-\theta})ds + \hat{X}_{s-}b_{s-}(\Psi_s^\dagger)'dM_s, \ s\in[t,T+\theta];\\ \hat{X}_t = 1,\\ \hat{X}_s = 0, \quad s\in[t-\theta,t). \end{cases}$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.1 of Mao [10], we have there exists a unique RCLL adapted solution $hatX$ of the above SDDE. By (1), $[M,M]_t = [X,X]_t = \langle X,X\rangle_t + L_t$ and $d\langle X,X\rangle_t = \Psi_t dt$. By Definition 2.4 and Lemma 4.3, $Z'_t = (\Psi_t\Psi_t^\dagger Z_t)' = Z'_t(\Psi_t\Psi_t^\dagger)' = Z'_t\Psi_t\Psi_t^\dagger$ for $t \in [t_0,T]$. Applying Itô's formula to $\hat{X}_s Y_s$ for $s \in [t,T]$, we derive

$$
\begin{aligned}
&d(\hat{X}_s Y_s)\\
&= \hat{X}_{s-}dY_s + Y_{s-}d\hat{X}_s + d[\hat{X},Y]_s\\
&= -\hat{X}_s a_s Y_s ds - \hat{X}_s\mu_s E^{\mathcal{F}_s}[Y_{s+\theta}]ds - \hat{X}_s b_s Z_s ds - \hat{X}_s\varphi_s ds + \hat{X}_{s-}Z'_s dM_s + Y_s\hat{X}_s a_s ds\\
&+ Y_s\mu_{s-\theta}\hat{X}_{s-\theta}ds + Y_{s-}\hat{X}_{s-}b_{s-}(\Psi_s^\dagger)'dM_s + Z'_s\Delta M_s\hat{X}_{s-}b_{s-}(\Psi_s^\dagger)'\Delta M_s\\
&= -\hat{X}_s\mu_s E^{\mathcal{F}_s}[Y_{s+\theta}]ds - \hat{X}_s b_s Z_s ds - \hat{X}_s\varphi_s ds + \hat{X}_{s-}Z'_s dM_s + Y_s\mu_{s-\theta}\hat{X}_{s-\theta}ds\\
&+ Y_{s-}\hat{X}_{s-}b_{s-}(\Psi_s^\dagger)'dM_s + Z'_s\Delta M_s\Delta M'_s\Psi_s^\dagger\hat{X}_{s-}b'_{s-}\\
&= -\hat{X}_s\mu_s E^{\mathcal{F}_s}[Y_{s+\theta}]ds - \hat{X}_s b_s Z_s ds - \hat{X}_s\varphi_s ds + \hat{X}_{s-}Z'_s dM_s + Y_s\mu_{s-\theta}\hat{X}_{s-\theta}ds\\
&+ Y_{s-}\hat{X}_{s-}b_{s-}(\Psi_s^\dagger)'dM_s + Z'_s d[M,M]_s\Psi_s^\dagger\hat{X}_{s-}b'_{s-}\\
&= -\hat{X}_s\mu_s E^{\mathcal{F}_s}[Y_{s+\theta}]ds - \hat{X}_s b_s Z_s ds - \hat{X}_s\varphi_s ds + \hat{X}_{s-}Z'_s dM_s + Y_s\mu_{s-\theta}\hat{X}_{s-\theta}ds\\
&+ Y_{s-}\hat{X}_{s-}b_{s-}(\Psi_s^\dagger)'dM_s + Z'_s\Psi_s\Psi_s^\dagger\hat{X}_s b'_s ds + Z'_s dL_s\Psi_s^\dagger\hat{X}_{s-}b'_{s-}\\
&= -\hat{X}_s\mu_s E^{\mathcal{F}_s}[Y_{s+\theta}]ds - \hat{X}_s b_s Z_s ds - \hat{X}_s\varphi_s ds + \hat{X}_{s-}Z'_s dM_s + Y_s\mu_{s-\theta}\hat{X}_{s-\theta}ds\\
&+ Y_{s-}\hat{X}_{s-}b_{s-}(\Psi_s^\dagger)'dM_s + Z'_s\hat{X}_s b'_s ds + Z'_s dL_s\Psi_s^\dagger\hat{X}_{s-}b'_{s-}\\
&= -\hat{X}_s\mu_s E^{\mathcal{F}_s}[Y_{s+\theta}]ds - \hat{X}_s\varphi_s ds + \hat{X}_{s-}Z'_s dM_s + Y_s\mu_{s-\theta}\hat{X}_{s-\theta}ds\\
&+ Y_{s-}\hat{X}_{s-}b_{s-}(\Psi_s^\dagger)'dM_s + Z'_s dL_s\Psi_s^\dagger\hat{X}_{s-}b'_{s-}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Then for any $s \in [t,T]$, we obtain

$$\hat{X}_s Y_s - Y_t + \int_t^s \hat{X}_r\mu_r E^{\mathcal{F}_r}[Y_{r+\theta}]dr + \int_t^s \hat{X}_r\varphi_r dr - \int_t^s Y_r\mu_{r-\theta}\hat{X}_{r-\theta}dr = \tilde{L}_s$$

for some local martingale $\tilde{L}$. Thus by Hölder's inequality, noting $\hat{X}_s = 0$ for any $s \in [t-\theta,t)$, we know for any $T' \in [t,T]$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&E[\sup_{s\in[t,T']}|\tilde{L}_s|]\\
&\le E[\sup_{s\in[t,T']}|\hat{X}_s Y_s|] + E[|Y_t|] + \gamma E[\sup_{s\in[t,T']}\int_t^s |\hat{X}_r E^{\mathcal{F}_r}[Y_{r+\theta}]|dr]\\
&+ E[\sup_{s\in[t,T']}\int_t^s |\hat{X}_r\varphi_r|dr] + \gamma E[\sup_{s\in[t,T']}\int_t^s |Y_r\hat{X}_{r-\theta}|dr]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\le \frac{1}{2}E[\sup_{s\in[t,T]}|\hat{X}_s|^2+\sup_{s\in[t,T]}|Y_s|^2]+E[|Y_t|]+\gamma E[\int_t^T|\hat{X}_rY_{r+\theta}|dr]\\
&+E[\int_t^T|\hat{X}_r\varphi_r|dr]+\gamma E[\int_t^T|Y_r\hat{X}_{r-\theta}|dr]\\
&\le \frac{1}{2}E[\sup_{s\in[t,T]}|\hat{X}_s|^2+\sup_{s\in[t,T]}|Y_s|^2]+E[|Y_t|]+\gamma(E[\int_t^T|\hat{X}_r|^2dr])^{\frac{1}{2}}(E[\int_t^T|\varphi_r|^2dr])^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
&+\gamma(E[\int_t^T|\hat{X}_r|^2dr])^{\frac{1}{2}}(E[\int_t^T|Y_{r+\theta}|^2dr])^{\frac{1}{2}}+\gamma(E[\int_t^T|Y_r|^2dr])^{\frac{1}{2}}(E[\int_t^T|\hat{X}_{r-\theta}|^2dr])^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
&\le \frac{1}{2}E[\sup_{s\in[t,T]}|\hat{X}_s|^2+\sup_{s\in[t,T]}|Y_s|^2]+E[|Y_t|]+\gamma(E[\int_t^T|\hat{X}_r|^2dr])^{\frac{1}{2}}(E[\int_t^T|\varphi_r|^2dr])^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
&+\gamma(E[\int_t^T|\hat{X}_r|^2dr])^{\frac{1}{2}}(E[\int_{t+\theta}^{T+\theta}|Y_r|^2dr])^{\frac{1}{2}}+\gamma(E[\int_t^T|Y_r|^2dr])^{\frac{1}{2}}(E[\int_t^{T-\theta}|\hat{X}_r|^2dr])^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 4.5.

$$
E[\int_t^T|\hat{X}_r|^2dr]<+\infty,\ \textit{moreover, } E[\sup_{s\in[t,T]}|\hat{X}_s|^2]<+\infty.
$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.5, we know there exists a constant $\rho>0$ such that $|\Psi_s^\dagger|_{N\times N}\le\rho$ for $s\in[t_0-\theta,T+\theta]$. Since $\hat{X}_s=0$ for $s\in[t-\theta,t)$, by Lemma 2.1 we have for $s\in[t,T+\theta]$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&E[|\hat{X}_s|^2]\\
&\le 4(1+E[|\int_t^s a_r\hat{X}_rdr|^2]+E[|\int_t^s\mu_{r-\theta}\hat{X}_{r-\theta}dr|^2]+E[|\int_t^s\hat{X}_{r-}b_{r-}(\Psi_r^\dagger)'dM_r|^2])\\
&\le 4+4\gamma^2(s-t)E[\int_t^s|\hat{X}_r|^2dr]+4\gamma^2(s-t)E[\int_t^{s-\theta}|\hat{X}_r|^2dr]\\
&+4E[\int_t^s\|\hat{X}_rb_r(\Psi_r^\dagger)'\|_{X_r}^2dr]\\
&\le 4+8\gamma^2(T+\theta-t)E[\int_t^s|\hat{X}_r|^2dr]+12m^2E[\int_t^s|\hat{X}_rb_r(\Psi_r^\dagger)'|_N^2dr]\\
&\le 4+8\gamma^2(T+\theta-t)E[\int_t^s|\hat{X}_r|^2dr]+12m^2E[\int_t^s|\hat{X}_r|^2\cdot|b_r|_N^2\cdot|\Psi_r^\dagger|_{N\times N}^2dr]\\
&\le 4+8\gamma^2(T+\theta-t)E[\int_t^s|\hat{X}_r|^2dr]+12m^2\gamma^2\rho^2E[\int_t^s|\hat{X}_r|^2dr].
\end{aligned}
$$

By Grönwall's inequality, we derive for $s\in[t,T+\theta]$,

$$
E[|\hat{X}_s|^2]\le 4e^{(8\gamma^2(T+\theta-t)+12m^2\gamma^2\rho^2)s}\le 4e^{8\gamma^2(T+\theta)^2+12m^2\gamma^2\rho^2(T+\theta)}.
$$

Hence $E[\int_t^T |\hat{X}_r|^2 dr] = \int_t^T E[|\hat{X}_r|^2]dr < +\infty$ and by Doob's martingale inequality, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
&E[\sup_{s\in[t,T]} |\hat{X}_s|^2] \\
&\leq 4 + 4E[\sup_{s\in[t,T]} |\int_t^s a_r \hat{X}_r dr|^2] + 4E[\sup_{s\in[t,T]} |\int_t^s \mu_{r-\theta}\hat{X}_{r-\theta} dr|^2] \\
&+ 4E[\sup_{s\in[t,T]} |\int_t^s \hat{X}_{r-} b_{r-}(\Psi_r^\dagger)' dM_r|^2] \\
&\leq 4 + 8\gamma^2 T E[\int_t^T |\hat{X}_r|^2 dr] + 16E[|\int_t^T \hat{X}_{r-} b_{r-}(\Psi_r^\dagger)' dM_r|^2].
\end{aligned}$$

Similar to the above proof, we obtain $E[\sup_{s\in[t,T]} |\hat{X}_s|^2] < +\infty$. □

We return to the proof of Theorem 4.4. By Proposition 3.2 and Lemma 4.5, we know $E[\sup_{s\in[t,T']} |\tilde{L}|] < +\infty$. So by Lemma 2.10, we deduce $\tilde{L}$ is a martingale. Because $\hat{X}_t = 1$ and $\hat{X}_s = 0$, $s \in [t-\theta, t)$, taking conditional expectations under $\mathcal{F}_t$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&Y_t \\
&= E^{\mathcal{F}_t}[\hat{X}_T Y_T + \int_t^T \hat{X}_s \mu_s E^{\mathcal{F}_s}[Y_{s+\theta}]ds + \int_t^T \hat{X}_s \varphi_s ds - \int_t^T Y_s \mu_{s-\theta}\hat{X}_{s-\theta} ds] \\
&= E^{\mathcal{F}_t}[\hat{X}_T Y_T + \int_t^T \hat{X}_s \varphi_s ds] + E^{\mathcal{F}_t}[\int_t^T (\hat{X}_s \mu_s Y_{s+\theta} - \hat{X}_{s-\theta}\mu_{s-\theta} Y_s)ds] \\
&= E^{\mathcal{F}_t}[\hat{X}_T Y_T + \int_t^T \hat{X}_s \varphi_s ds] + E^{\mathcal{F}_t}[\int_{t+\theta}^{T+\theta} \hat{X}_{s-\theta}\mu_{s-\theta} Y_s ds - \int_t^T \hat{X}_{s-\theta}\mu_{s-\theta} Y_s ds] \\
&= E^{\mathcal{F}_t}[\hat{X}_T Y_T + \int_t^T \hat{X}_s \varphi_s ds + \int_T^{T+\theta} \hat{X}_{s-\theta}\mu_{s-\theta} Y_s ds - \int_t^{t+\theta} \hat{X}_{s-\theta}\mu_{s-\theta} Y_s ds] \\
&= E^{\mathcal{F}_t}[\hat{X}_T U_T + \int_t^T \hat{X}_s \varphi_s ds + \int_T^{T+\theta} \mu_{s-\theta}\hat{X}_{s-\theta} U_s ds], \quad \text{a.e., a.s.}
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.21 in Elliott [6], we obtain $Y_t = E^{\mathcal{F}_t}[\hat{X}_T U_T + \int_t^T \hat{X}_s \varphi_s ds + \int_T^{T+\theta} \mu_{s-\theta}\hat{X}_{s-\theta} U_s ds$, for any $t \in [0,T]$, a.s. □

5 Comparison theorem of one-dimensional anticipated BSDEs with Markov chain model

The main idea of our proof comes from the proof of the comparison theorem for anticipated BSDEs with Brownian motion noise in Peng and Yang [12].

Let $(Y_\cdot^{(1)}, Z_\cdot^{(1)})$, $(Y_\cdot^{(2)}, Z_\cdot^{(2)})$ be respectively the solutions of the following

two one-dimensional anticipated BSDEs:

$$\begin{cases} -dY_t^{(j)} = f_j(t, Y_t^{(j)}, Z_t^{(j)}, Y_{t+\delta(t)}^{(j)})dt - Z_t^{(j)}dM_t, & 0 \le t \le T; \\ Y_t^{(j)} = \xi_t^{(j)}, & T \le t \le T+K, \end{cases}$$

where $j = 1, 2$.

Theorem 5.1. *Assume $\xi_\cdot^{(1)}, \xi_\cdot^{(2)} \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(T, T+K; \mathbb{R})$, δ satisfies $(i), (ii)$, and f_1, f_2 satisfy conditions such that the above two anticipated BSDEs have unique solutions. Suppose*

1. *f_1 satisfies (H1), moreover, the Lipschitz constant c_2 of f_1 satisfies*

$$c_2 \|\Psi_t^\dagger\|_{N\times N}\sqrt{6m} < 1, \quad \text{for any } t \in [0, T],$$

where Ψ is given in (3) and $m > 0$ is the bound of $\|A_t\|_{N\times N}$, for any $t \in [0, T]$.

2. *for any $t \in [0, T]$, $y \in \mathbb{R}$, $z \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $f_1(t, y, z, \cdot)$ is increasing, i.e., $f_1(t, y, z, \theta_r) \ge f_1(t, y, z, \theta'_r)$, if $\theta_r \ge \theta'_r$, $\theta_\cdot, \theta'_\cdot \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(t, T+K; \mathbb{R}), r \in [t, T+K]$.*

If $\xi_s^{(1)} \le \xi_s^{(2)}$, $s \in [T, T+K]$, and $f_1(t, Y_t^{(2)}, Z_t^{(2)}, Y_{t+\delta(t)}^{(2)}) \le f_2(t, Y_t^{(2)}, Z_t^{(2)}, Y_{t+\delta(t)}^{(2)})$, a.e., a.s., then

$$P(Y_t^{(1)} \le Y_t^{(2)}, \quad \text{for any } t \in [0, T]) = 1.$$

Proof. Set

$$\begin{cases} Y_t^{(3)} = \xi_T^{(1)} + \int_t^T f_1(s, Y_s^{(3)}, Z_s^{(3)}, Y_{s+\delta(s)}^{(2)})ds - \int_t^T (Z_s^{(3)})' dM_s, & t \in [0, T]; \\ Y_t^{(3)} = \xi_t^{(1)}, & t \in [T, T+K]. \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 2.3, we know there exists a solution $(Y_\cdot^{(3)}, Z_\cdot^{(3)}) \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; \mathbb{R}) \times H^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^N)$ to the above BSDE. Moreover, this solution is unique up to indistinguishability for $Y_\cdot$ and equality $d\langle M, M\rangle_t \times \mathbb{P}$-a.s. for $Z_\cdot$. Set $\tilde{f}_t = f_2(t, Y_t^{(2)}, Z_t^{(2)}, Y_{t+\delta(t)}^{(2)}) - f_1(t, Y_t^{(2)}, Z_t^{(2)}, Y_{t+\delta(t)}^{(2)})$ and $y_\cdot = Y_\cdot^{(2)} - Y_\cdot^{(3)}$, $z_\cdot = Z_\cdot^{(2)} - Z_\cdot^{(3)}$, $\tilde{\xi}_\cdot = \xi_\cdot^{(2)} - \xi_\cdot^{(1)}$. Then the pair (y, z) can be regarded as the solution to the linear BSDE

$$\begin{cases} y_t = \tilde{\xi}_T + \int_t^T (a_s y_s + b_s z_s + \tilde{f}_s)ds - \int_t^T z_s dM_s, & t \in [0, T]; \\ y_t = \tilde{\xi}_t, & t \in [T, T+K], \end{cases}$$

where

$$a_s = \begin{cases} \dfrac{f_1(t, Y_t^{(2)}, Z_t^{(2)}, Y_{t+\delta(t)}^{(2)}) - f_1(t, Y_t^{(3)}, Z_t^{(2)}, Y_{t+\delta(t)}^{(2)})}{y_s}, & \text{if } y_s \neq 0; \\ 0, & \text{if } y_s = 0, \end{cases}$$

$$b_s = \begin{cases} \dfrac{f_1(t, Y_t^{(3)}, Z_t^{(2)}, Y_{t+\delta(t)}^{(2)}) - f_1(t, Y_t^{(3)}, Z_t^{(3)}, Y_{t+\delta(t)}^{(2)})}{|z_s|_N^2} z_s', & \text{if } z_s \neq 0; \\ 0, & \text{if } z_s = 0. \end{cases}$$

Since f_1 satisfies (H1), we deduce for any $s \in [0,T]$, $|a_s| \leq c_1$ and by Lemma 2.1,

$$|b_s|_N \leq c_2 \frac{\|z_s\|_{X_s} \cdot |z_s|_N}{|z_s|_N^2} \leq c_2\sqrt{3m}.$$

By Lemma 2.9, we know

$$P(y_t = E[\tilde{\xi}_T U_T + \int_t^T \tilde{f}_s U_s ds | \mathcal{F}_t], \text{ for any } t \in [0,T]) = 1,$$

where U is the solution of a one-dimensional SDE

$$\begin{cases} dU_s = U_s a_s ds + U_{s-} b_{s-} (\Psi_s^\dagger)' dM_s, & s \in [t,T]; \\ U_t = 1. \end{cases} \tag{15}$$

Denote

$$dV_s = a_s ds + b_{s-}(\Psi_s^\dagger)' dM_s, \quad s \in [0,T].$$

The solution to SDE (15) is given by the Doléan-Dade exponential (See [6]):

$$U_s = \exp(V_s - \frac{1}{2}\langle V^c, V^c \rangle_s) \prod_{0 \leq u \leq s} (1 + \Delta V_u) e^{-\Delta V_u}, \quad s \in [0,T],$$

where

$$\Delta V_u = b_{u-}(\Psi_u^\dagger)' \Delta M_u = b_{u-}(\Psi_u^\dagger)' \Delta X_u.$$

Since f_1 satisfies $c_2 \|\Psi_t^\dagger\|_{N\times N}\sqrt{6m} < 1$, for any $t \in [0,T]$, where Ψ is given in (3) and $m > 0$ is the bound of $\|A_t\|_{N\times N}$, for any $t \in [0,T]$, by Lemma 2.1 we have

$$|\Delta V_u| \leq |b_{u-}|_N \cdot \|(\Psi_u^\dagger)'\|_{N\times N} \cdot |\Delta X_u|_N < c_2\sqrt{3m}\frac{1}{\sqrt{6m}c_2}\sqrt{2} = 1.$$

Hence we have $U_s > 0$, $s \in [0,T]$. As $\tilde{\xi}_T \geq 0$, a.s., and $\tilde{f}_s \geq 0$, a.e., a.s., we know for any $t \in [0,T]$,

$$y_t = E[\tilde{\xi}_T U_T + \int_t^T \tilde{f}_s U_s ds | \mathcal{F}_t] \geq 0, \ a.s.$$

Since $y_\cdot$ is RCLL, by Lemma 2.21 in Elliott [6], we obtain

$$P(Y_t^{(2)} \geq Y_t^{(3)}, \text{ for any } t \in [0,T]) = P(y_t \geq 0, \text{ for any } t \in [0,T]) = 1.$$

Set

$$\begin{cases} Y_t^{(4)} = \xi_T^{(1)} + \int_t^T f_1(s, Y_s^{(4)}, Z_s^{(4)}, Y_{s+\delta(s)}^{(3)}) ds - \int_t^T (Z_s^{(4)})' dM_s, & t \in [0,T]; \\ Y_t^{(4)} = \xi_t^{(1)}, & t \in [T, T+K]. \end{cases}$$

Recall for any $t \in [0,T], y \in \mathbb{R}, z \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $f_1(t,y,z,\cdot)$ is increasing and $Y_t^{(2)} \geq Y_t^{(3)}$, for any $t \in [0,T]$, a.e. Also, f_1 satisfies $c_2 \|\Psi_t^\dagger\|_{N\times N}\sqrt{6m} < 1$ for $t \in [0,T]$. So by Lemma 2.8 we obtain

$$P(Y_t^{(3)} \geq Y_t^{(4)}, \quad \text{for any } t \in [0,T]) = 1.$$

For $n = 5, 6, \cdots$, we consider the following sequence of classical BSDEs on Markov chain:

$$\begin{cases} Y_t^{(n)} = \xi_T^{(1)} + \int_t^T f_1(s, Y_s^{(n)}, Z_s^{(n)}, Y_{s+\delta(s)}^{(n-1)}) ds - \int_t^T (Z_s^{(n)})' dM_s, & t \in [0,T]; \\ Y_t^{(n)} = \xi_t^{(1)}, & t \in [T, T+K]. \end{cases}$$

Similarly for any $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 4$, we know the above equation has a unique solution $(Y_\cdot^{(n)}, Z_\cdot^{(n)}) \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0,T;\mathbb{R}) \times H^2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^N)$. Moreover, there exists a subset $A_n \subseteq \Omega$ with $P(A_n) = 1$ such that for any $\omega \in A_n$, $Y_t^{(n)}(\omega) \geq Y_t^{(n+1)}(\omega)$, for any $t \in [0,T]$. Hence

$$P(\bigcap_{n=4}^{+\infty} A_n) = 1 - P(\bigcup_{n=4}^{+\infty} A_n^c) \geq 1 - \sum_{n=4}^{+\infty} P(A_n^c) = 1.$$

That is,

$$P(Y_t^{(4)} \geq Y_t^{(5)} \geq \ldots \geq Y_t^{(n)} \geq \ldots, \ \text{ for any } t \in [0,T]) = 1.$$

So

$$P(Y_t^{(2)} \geq Y_t^{(3)} \geq Y_t^{(4)} \geq Y_t^{(5)} \geq \ldots \geq Y_t^{(n)} \geq \ldots, \ \text{ for any } t \in [0,T]) = 1.$$

Let $\beta > 0$ be an arbitrary constant and $c = \max\{c_1, c_2\}$. We use $\| \nu(\cdot) \|_{L^2}$ and $\| \mu(\cdot) \|_{\hat{H}^2}$ in the proof of Theorem 3.1 as the norms in the Banach spaces $L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T+K; \mathbb{R})$ and $\hat{H}^2(0, T+K; \mathbb{R}^N)$, respectively. Set $\hat{Y}^{(n)}_s = Y^{(n)}_s - Y^{(n-1)}_s$, $\hat{Z}^{(n)}_s = Z^{(n)}_s - Z^{(n-1)}_s$, $n \geq 4$. Then $(\hat{Y}^{(n)}_\cdot, \hat{Z}^{(n)}_\cdot)$ satisfies the following BSDE

$$\begin{cases} \hat{Y}^{(n)}_t = \int_t^T (f_1(s, Y^{(n)}_s, Z^{(n)}_s, Y^{(n-1)}_{s+\delta(s)}) - f_1(s, Y^{(n-1)}_s, Z^{(n-1)}_s, Y^{(n-2)}_{s+\delta(s)}))ds \\ \qquad\quad - \int_t^T (\hat{Z}^{(n)}_s)' dM_s, \qquad t \in [0, T]; \\ \hat{Y}^{(n)}_t = 0, \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad t \in [T, T+K]. \end{cases}$$

Apply Itô's formula to $e^{\beta s}|\hat{Y}_s|^2$ for $s \in [0, T]$ and then take the expectation:

$$\begin{aligned} &E[|\hat{Y}^{(n)}_0|^2] + E[\int_0^T \beta |\hat{Y}^{(n)}_s|^2 e^{\beta s} ds] + E[\int_0^T \|\hat{Z}^{(n)}_s\|^2_{X_s} e^{\beta s} ds] \\ &= 2E[\int_0^T \hat{Y}^{(n)}_s (f_1(s, Y^{(n)}_s, Z^{(n)}_s, Y^{(n-1)}_{s+\delta(s)}) - f_1(s, Y^{(n-1)}_s, Z^{(n-1)}_s, Y^{(n-2)}_{s+\delta(s)})) e^{\beta s} ds] \\ &\leq E[\int_0^T (\frac{\beta}{2}|\hat{Y}^{(n)}_s|^2 + \frac{2}{\beta}|f_1(s, Y^{(n)}_s, Z^{(n)}_s, Y^{(n-1)}_{s+\delta(s)}) - f_1(s, Y^{(n-1)}_s, Z^{(n-1)}_s, Y^{(n-2)}_{s+\delta(s)})|^2) e^{\beta s} ds]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &E[\int_0^T (\frac{\beta}{2}|\hat{Y}^{(n)}_s|^2 + \|\hat{Z}^{(n)}_s\|^2_{X_s}) e^{\beta s} ds] \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\beta} E[\int_0^T |f_1(s, Y^{(n)}_s, Z^{(n)}_s, Y^{(n-1)}_{s+\delta(s)}) - f_1(s, Y^{(n-1)}_s, Z^{(n-1)}_s, Y^{(n-2)}_{s+\delta(s)})|^2 e^{\beta s} ds] \\ &\leq \frac{6c^2}{\beta} E[\int_0^T (|\hat{Y}^{(n)}_s|^2 + \|\hat{Z}^{(n)}_s\|^2_{X_s} + |\hat{Y}^{(n-1)}_{s+\delta(s)}|^2) e^{\beta s} ds] \\ &\leq \frac{6c^2}{\beta} E[\int_0^T (|\hat{Y}^{(n)}_s|^2 + \|\hat{Z}^{(n)}_s\|^2_{X_s}) e^{\beta s} ds] + \frac{6c^2 L}{\beta} E[\int_0^T |\hat{Y}^{(n-1)}_s|^2 e^{\beta s} ds]. \end{aligned}$$

Set $\beta = 18c^2 L + 18c^2 + 3$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2}{3} E[\int_0^T (|\hat{Y}^{(n)}_s|^2 + \|\hat{Z}^{(n)}_s\|^2_{X_s}) e^{\beta s} ds] &\leq \frac{1}{3} E[\int_0^T |\hat{Y}^{(n-1)}_s|^2 e^{\beta s} ds] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{3} E[\int_0^T (|\hat{Y}^{(n-1)}_s|^2 + \|\hat{Z}^{(n-1)}_s\|^2_{X_s}) e^{\beta s} ds]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$E[\int_0^T (|\hat{Y}^{(n)}_s|^2 + \|\hat{Z}^{(n)}_s\|^2_{X_s}) e^{\beta s} ds] \leq (\frac{1}{2})^{n-4} E[\int_0^T (|\hat{Y}^{(4)}_s|^2 + \|\hat{Z}^{(4)}_s\|^2_{X_s}) e^{\beta s} ds].$$

It follows that $(Y_\cdot^{(n)})_{n\geq 4}$ and $(Z_\cdot^{(n)})_{n\geq 4}$ are, respectively, Cauchy sequences in $L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0,T+K;\mathbb{R})$ and in $\hat{H}^2(0,T+K;\mathbb{R}^N)$. Denote their limits by $Y_\cdot$ and $Z_\cdot$, respectively. Then

$$P(Y_t^{(2)} \geq Y_t^{(3)} \geq \ldots \geq Y_t^{(n)} \geq \ldots \geq Y_t, \text{ for any } t \in [0,T]) = 1.$$

Since $L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0,T+K;\mathbb{R})$ and $\hat{H}^2(0,T+K;\mathbb{R}^N)$ are both Banach spaces, we obtain $(Y_\cdot, Z_\cdot) \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0,T+K;\mathbb{R}) \times \hat{H}^2(0,T+K;\mathbb{R}^N)$. Note for any $\in [0,T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} &E[\textstyle\int_t^T |f_1(s, Y_s^{(n)}, Z_s^{(n)}, Y_{s+\delta(s)}^{(n-1)}) - f_1(s, Y_s, Z_s, Y_{s+\delta(s)})|^2 e^{\beta s} ds] \\ &\leq 3c^2 E[\textstyle\int_t^T (|Y_s^{(n)} - Y_s|^2 + \|Z_s^{(n)} - Z_s\|^2_{X_s} + L|Y_s^{(n-1)} - Y_s|^2) e^{\beta s} ds] \to 0, \ n \to \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $(Y_\cdot, Z_\cdot)$ satisfies the following anticipated BSDE

$$\begin{cases} Y_t = \xi_T^{(1)} + \int_t^T f_1(s, Y_s, Z_s, Y_{s+\delta(s)}) ds - \int_t^T Z_s' dM_s, & 0 \leq t \leq T; \\ Y_t = \xi_t^{(1)}, & T \leq t \leq T+K. \end{cases}$$

By Theorem 3.1 we know

$$P(Y_t = Y_t^{(1)}, \text{ for any } t \in [0,T]) = 1.$$

Because $P(Y_t^{(2)} \geq Y_t^{(3)} \geq Y_t, \text{ for any } t \in [0,T]) = 1$, it holds immediately that

$$P(Y_t^{(1)} \leq Y_t^{(2)}, \text{ for any } t \in [0,T]) = 1. \qquad \square$$

References

[1] L. Campbell and D. Meyer, Generalized inverses of linear transformations, Classic in Applied Mathematics, SIAM, 56, (2008).

[2] S. N. Cohen and R. J. Elliott, Solutions of Backward Stochastic Differential Equations in Markov Chains., Communications on Stochastic Analysis **2**, 251-262 (2008).

[3] S. N. Cohen and R. J. Elliott, Comparison Theorems for Finite State Backward Stochastic Differential Equations, in Contemporary Quantitative Finance, Springer (2010).

[4] S. N. Cohen and R. J. Elliott, Comparisons for Backward Stochastic Differential Equations on Markov Chains and Relate No-arbitrage Conditions, Annals of Applied Probability, **20**(1), 267-311 (2010).

[5] N. El Karoui, S. Peng and M. C. Quenez, Backward stochastic differential equations in finance, Mathematical Finance **7**, 1, 1-71 (1997).

[6] R. J. Elliott, Stochastic calculus and applications, Springer-Verlag, New York Heidelberg Berlin (1982).

[7] R. J. Elliott, L. Aggoun and J. B. Moore, Hidden markov models: estimation and control, Applications of Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, **29** (1994).

[8] W. Lu and Y. Ren, Anticipated backward stochastic differential equations on Markov chains, Statistics and Probability Letters, **83**, 1711-1719 (2013).

[9] F. C. Klebaner, Introduction to stochastic calculus with applications, Second edition, Imerical College Press, (2005).

[10] X. R. Mao, Existence and uniqueness of the solutions of delay stochastic integral equations, Stochastic analysis and applications, **7**, (I), 59-74, (1989).

[11] B. Øksendal, A. Sulem and T. S. Zhang, Optimal control of stochastic delay equations and time-advanced backward stochastic differential equations, Adv. in Appl. Probab. **43(2)**, 572-596, 2011.

[12] S. Peng and Z. Yang, Anticipated backward stochastic differential equations. Annals of Probability, **37(3)**, 877-902 (2009).

[13] J. van der Hoek and R. J. Elliott, Asset pricing using finite state Markov chain stochastic discount functions, Stochastic Analysis and Applications, **30**, 865-894 (2010).

[14] J. van der Hoek and R. J. Elliott, American option prices in a Markov chain model, Applied Stochastic Models in Business and Industry, **28**, 35-39 (2012).

[15] H. Wu, W. Y. Wang and J. Ren, Anticipated backward stochastic differential equations with non-Lipschitz coefficients, Statistics and Probability Letters, **82(3)**, 672-682 (2012).

[16] X M. Xu, Necessary and suffcient condition for the comparison theorem of multidimensional anticipated backward stochastic differential equations, Science China Mathematics, **54(2)**, 301-310 (2011).

[17] X M. Xu, A general comparison theorem for 1-dimensional anticipated BSDEs, submitted to arXiv:0911.0507 (2011).

[18] Z. Yang, D. Ramarimbahoaka and R. J. Elliott, Comparison and converse comparison theorems for backward stochastic differential equations with Markov chain Noise, submitted to arXiv:submit/1040473 (2014).

[19] Z. Yang and R. J. Elliott, A converse comparison theorem for anticipated BSDEs and related non-linear expectations, Stochastic Processes and their Applications, **123**, 275-299, (2013).

[20] Z. Yang and R. J. Elliott, Anticipated backward stochastic differential equations with continuous coefficients, Commun. Stoch. Anal., **7(2)**, 303-319, (2013).

[21] G. F. Zong, Anticipated backward stochastic differential equations driven by the Teugels martingales, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, **412(2)**, 989-997 (2014).